

Schary, Claire

From: Carrie Sanneman <sanneman@willamettepartnership.org>
Sent: Monday, July 14, 2014 3:01 PM
To: Schary, Claire
Cc: Bobby Cochran; hbre461@ECY.WA.GOV; Neil Mullane; 'Joe Furia' (Furia@thefreshwatertrust.org); 'Tim Wigington' (Tim@thefreshwatertrust.org)
Subject: Re: JRS document for ECY and EPA

Susan's comment came to me, I inserted it in a working copy that was sent around to the project team, which must not have been the one used here. I believe, however, it was the only other change that I made. We will include it, or you can do it with your changes. Otherwise, this is the most recent version. Tracked changes include all of those made since the 2nd draft. Those labeled WP&TFT were in the draft sent out 6/24, all others have been made since in response to Helen's comments.

Welcome back!

Carrie

On Mon, Jul 14, 2014 at 2:48 PM, Schary, Claire <Schary.Claire@epa.gov> wrote:

I have a call in to Helen to go through her comments and see if she agrees with Tim's edits/responses (I've read through them both). We'll get back to you as soon as she and I talk.

In the meantime, is this version the one I should read to see if we agree with other changes that were made? I noticed Susan's request to have "mixing zone" deleted in Section 1's Compliance Point paragraph is still there. I also have a few minor edits in Section 2 to share, but don't want to insert my comments in the wrong version.

-- Claire

Claire Schary

schary.claire@epa.gov / (206) 553-8514

From: Bobby Cochran [mailto:cochran@willamettepartnership.org]
Sent: Saturday, July 12, 2014 7:24 AM
To: hbre461@ECY.WA.GOV; Schary, Claire
Cc: Carrie Sanneman; Neil Mullane; 'Joe Furia' (Furia@thefreshwatertrust.org); 'Tim Wigington' (Tim@thefreshwatertrust.org)
Subject: Fwd: JRS document for ECY and EPA

Helen and Claire,

Sounds from folks that you had a productive meeting--that you all for doing that! Tim was able to make the changes discussed and was able to address your hand-written comments too Helen.

This document now has all the changes in it, which makes it hard to read. To see the changes Tim made from this last meeting, just turn off the other reviewers' comments in the "Review" tab in Word.

Tim attached Helen's handwritten comments too. I think many work just fine, but some we should talk about more.

Thanks again everyone for spending the time we need to make sure this works for everyone!, and thanks again Tim for doing the quick lift to get these incorporated.

Bobby

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tim Wigington** <Tim@thefreshwatertrust.org>

Date: Fri, Jul 11, 2014 at 4:37 PM

Subject: JRS document for ECY and EPA

To: Bobby Cochran <cochran@willamettepartnership.org>, Neil Mullane <nmullane@msn.com>

Cc: Joe Furia <Furia@thefreshwatertrust.org>

Bobby & Neil,

Changes made. I've included a quick summary of where changes are made. Much easier to see the below changes if you turn off all "WP&TFT" track changes so that just showing individual changes.

- Pgs. 8-9 (update to ES on baseline, including a FN explaining why we are saying "TMDL LAs and/or TMDL implementation plans")
- Pg. 15 (noticed a clarifying change that Bobby and I had talked about, but wasn't included in this version)
- Pg. 47 (per Bobby's comment and phone conversation with Helen, rejected suggested changes in commentary of 1.6 – see HB3)
- Pg. 49 (Baseline section: all of the "and/or" changes; a comment explaining why we are keeping the "regulatory requirement" terminology [TW4]; a comment noting that we're ok taking out "enforceable" in front of "control requirement" in light of the other edits [TW6])
- Pgs. 50-53 (a number of "and/or" inspired changes where I had to wordsmith a bit; added the Exec Summary FN explaining and/or concept again; similar updates to the three bulleted definitions of regulatory requirements, TMDLs and State General Nonpoint Source Control Authority; a comment explaining why we cut out the business-as-usual concept [TW7])
- Pg. 54 (comment explaining why we cut out the reasonable assurance-TMDL-trading bullet from section 2.1.2 (2) – I copied and pasted in Helen's comments from an earlier draft b/c she had been the one who wanted us to pull that bullet out [TW8]. EPA and ECY should recommend course of action on this one)
- Pg. 56 (cut out statutory in front of "general nonpoint source control authority" throughout section 2.1.3)
- Glossary: 129-130, 137 (updated definitions to be consistent with the changes made in the main text)

Thanks,

Tim Wigington

Business & Legal Analyst

The Freshwater Trust

p: (503) 222-9091 x41

f: [\(503\) 222-9187](tel:(503)222-9187)

The contents of this email may be privileged and confidential and are intended for the use of the intended addressee(s) only. If you are not an intended addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact me at tim@thefreshwatertrust.org.